Jong-Han Kim

Unsupervised Learning

Jong-Han Kim

EE787 Fundamentals of machine learning Kyung Hee University

Unsupervised learning

Unsupervised learning

- \blacktriangleright in *supervised learning* we deal with pairs of records u, v
- \blacktriangleright goal is to predict v from u using a prediction model
- \blacktriangleright the output records v^i 'supervise' the learning of the model

- ▶ in *unsupervised learning*, we deal with only records *u*
- \blacktriangleright goal is to *build a data model* of u, in order to
 - reveal structure in u
 - impute missing entries (fields) in u
 - detect anomalies
- yes, the first goal is vague

Embedding

- \blacktriangleright as usual we embed raw data u into a feature vector $x=\phi(u)\in\mathsf{R}^d$
- we then build a data model for the feature vectors
- \blacktriangleright we un-embed when needed, to go back to the raw vector u
- so we'll work with feature vectors from now on
- \blacktriangleright (embedded) data set has the form $x^1,\ldots,x^n\in\mathsf{R}^d$

Data model

- > a *data model* tells us what the vectors in some data set 'look like'
- \blacktriangleright can be expressed quantitatively by an *implausibility function* or *loss function* $\ell:\mathsf{R}^d\to\mathsf{R}$
- \blacktriangleright $\ell(x)$ is how implausible x is as a data point
 - \blacktriangleright $\ell(x)$ small means x 'looks like' our data, or is 'typical'
 - \blacktriangleright $\ell(x)$ large means x does not look like our data
- ▶ if our model is probabilistic, *i.e.*, x comes from a density p(x), we can take $\ell(x) = -\log p(x)$, the *negative log density*
- other names for $\ell(x)$: surprise, perplexity, ...
- ▶ ℓ is often *parametrized* by a vector or matrix θ , and denoted $\ell_{\theta}(x)$

A simple constant model



- ▶ data model: x is near a fixed vector $\theta \in \mathbf{R}^d$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \theta \in \mathbf{R}^d$ parametrizes the model
- ▶ some implausibility functions:

$$\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \ \ell_{\theta}(x) = ||x - \theta||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_i - \theta_i)^2 \ (\text{square loss}) \\ \hline \ \ell_{\theta}(x) = ||x - \theta||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} |x_i - \theta_i| \ (\text{absolute loss}) \end{array}$$

K-means data model



 \blacktriangleright data model: x is close to one of the k representatives $heta_1,\ldots, heta_k\in {oldsymbol R}^d$

 \blacktriangleright quantitatively: for our data points x, the quantity

$$\ell_{ heta}(x) = \min_{i=1,...,k} \|x- heta_i\|^2$$

i.e., the minimum distance squared to the representatives, is small

igstarrow d imes k matrix $heta=[heta_1\cdots heta_k]$ parametrizes the k-means data model

Imputing missing entries

Imputing missing entries

- suppose x has some entries missing, denoted ? or NA or NaN
- $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$ is the set of *known entries*
- we use our data model to guess or *impute* the missing entries
- ightarrow we'll denote the imputed vector as \hat{x}
- $\blacktriangleright \ \hat{x}_i = x_i ext{ for } i \in \mathcal{K}$
- \blacktriangleright imputation example, with $\mathcal{K}=\{1,3\}$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 12.1 \\ ? \\ -2.3 \\ ? \end{bmatrix} \implies \hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 12.1 \\ -1.5 \\ -2.3 \\ 3.4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- \blacktriangleright we are imputing or guessing $\hat{x}_2 = -1.5, \, \hat{x}_4 = 3.4$
- \blacktriangleright the other entries we know: $\hat{x}_1 = x_1 = 12.1, \, \hat{x}_3 = x_3 = -2.3$

Imputation using a data model

 \blacktriangleright given partially specified vector x we minimize over the unknown entries:

minimize
$$\ell_ heta(\hat{x})$$

subject to $\hat{x}_i = x_i, \quad i \in \mathcal{K}$

i.e., impute the unknown entries to minimize the implausibility, subject to the given known entries

Imputing with constant data model



- given x with some entries unknown
- \blacktriangleright constant data model with implausibility function $\ell_ heta(x) = \|x- heta\|^2$
- lacksimwe minimize $(\hat{x}_1- heta_1)^2+\dots+(\hat{x}_d- heta_d)^2$ subject to $\hat{x}_i=x_i$ for $i\in\mathcal{K}$
- \blacktriangleright so $\hat{x}_i = x_i$ for $i \in \mathcal{K}$
- \blacktriangleright for $i \not\in \mathcal{K}$, we take $\hat{x}_i = heta_i$
- ▶ *i.e.*, for the unknown entries, guess the model parameter entries
- example has $\theta = (0.79, 1.11)$

Imputing with k-means data model

- given x with some entries unknown
- ► k-means data model with implausibility function $\ell_{\theta}(x) = \min_{i=1,...,k} ||x - \theta_i||^2$
- ▶ find nearest representative θ_j to x, using only known entries
- \blacktriangleright i.e., find j that minimizes $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{K}}(x_i-(heta_j)_i)^2$
- ▶ guess $\hat{x}_i = (heta_j)_i$ for $i
 ot\in \mathcal{K}$
- ▶ *i.e.*, for the unknown entries, guess the entries of the closest representative

Supervised learning as special case of imputation

- \blacktriangleright suppose we wish to predict $y \in \mathsf{R}$ based on $x \in \mathsf{R}^d$
- \blacktriangleright we have some training data $x^1,\ldots,x^n,\ y^1,\ldots,y^n$
- \blacktriangleright define (d+1)-vector $ilde{x}=(x,y)$

- \blacktriangleright build data model for $ilde{x}$ using training data $ilde{x}^1,\ldots, ilde{x}^n$
- \blacktriangleright to predict y given x, impute last entry of $ilde{x} = (x, ?)$

Validating imputation

we can validate a proposed data model (and imputation method):

- divide data into a training and a test set
- build data model on the training set
- ▶ mask some entries in the vectors in the test set (*i.e.*, replace them with ?)
- ▶ impute these entries and evaluate the average error or loss of the imputed values, *e.g.*, the RMSE

Fitting data models

Generic fitting method

- ▶ given data x^1, \ldots, x^n (with no missing entries), and parametrized implausibility function $\ell_{\theta}(x)$
- **•** how do we choose the parameter θ ?

average implausibility or empirical loss is

$$\mathcal{L}(heta) = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_ heta(x^i)$$

- ► choose θ to minimize $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$, (possibly) subject to $\theta \in \Theta$, the set of acceptable parameters
- \blacktriangleright *i.e.*, choose parameter θ so the observed data is least implausible

Fitting a constant model with sum squares loss

 \blacktriangleright sum squares implausibility function $\ell_ heta(x) = \|x- heta\|^2$

empirical loss is

$$\mathcal{L}(heta) = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \|x^i - heta\|^2$$

$$heta = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x^i$$

the mean of the data vectors

Fitting a constant model with sum absolute loss

 \blacktriangleright sum absolute implausibility function $\ell_{ heta}(x) = ||x- heta||_1$

▶ empirical loss is

$$\mathcal{L}(heta) = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \|x^i - heta\|_1$$

 \blacktriangleright minimizing over θ yields

$$\theta = \operatorname{median}(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$$

the elementwise median of the data vectors

Fitting a k-means model

- ▶ implausibility function $\ell_{ heta}(x) = \min_{j=1,...,k} \|x heta_j\|^2$
- \blacktriangleright parameter is d imes k matrix with columns $heta_1, \ldots, heta_k$
- empirical loss is

$$\mathcal{L}(heta) = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \min_{j=1,...,k} \|x^i - heta_j\|^2$$

- this is the k-means objective function!
- we can use the k-means algorithm to (approximately) minimize L(θ), i.e., fit a k-means model

K-means algorithm

- ▶ define the *assignment* or *clustering* vector $c \in \mathbf{R}^n$
- \blacktriangleright c_i is the cluster that data vector x^i is in (so $c_i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$)
- ▶ to minimize

$$\mathcal{L}(heta) = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \min_{j=1,...,k} \|x^i - heta_j\|^2$$

we minimize $rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \|x^i- heta_{c_i}\|^2$ over both c and $heta_1,\ldots, heta_k$

igsquare we can minimize over c using $c_i = \mathrm{argmin}_j \, \|x^i - heta_j\|^2$

- \blacktriangleright we can minimize over $heta_1,\ldots, heta_k$ using $heta_i$ as the average of $\{x^j\mid c_j=i\}$
- k-means algorithm alternates between these two steps
- ▶ it is a heuristic for (approximately) minimizing $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$

K-means example



▶ 200 data points; reserve 40 for test

K-means example



▶ convergence after 4 iterations

K-means example



• fit k-mean data model for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 50$

 \blacktriangleright validate by removing randomly either u_1 or u_2 from each record in test set

Revealing structure in data

Structure from a data model

- a data model can reveal structure of the data
- ▶ can be used for other purposes, some of them vague
- a good k-means model suggests that data come from k different 'modes' or 'regimes' or 'processes'
- examples:
 - partition 5 sec mobile phone accelerometer data into different patterns (walking, sitting, running, biking, etc.)
 - > partition customer purchase data into market segments
 - partition articles into different topics, authors

Features from a data model



- ▶ we can use a *k*-means data model to generate new features
- \blacktriangleright one-hot: map x to $ilde{x} = e_i$, $i = \mathrm{argmin}_j ||x heta_j||^2$
- \blacktriangleright soft version: map x to $ilde{x} \in \mathsf{R}^k$, ($\sigma > 0$ is a hyper-parameter)

$$ilde{x}_i = rac{e^{-\|x- heta_i\|^2/\sigma^2}}{e^{-\|x- heta_1\|^2/\sigma^2}+\dots+e^{-\|x- heta_k\|^2/\sigma^2}}, \quad i=1,\dots,k$$

Missing entries in a data set

- we've so far assumed that there are no missing entries in the data set used to build the data model
- ▶ let's see how to handle the case when entries are missing
- ▶ first, standardize data using known entries
- replace missing entries with zeros
- build data model
- use data model to impute missing entries
- now build new data model, and repeat

Example: Missing entries in a data set



 \blacktriangleright blue points known, purple points have missing x coordinate, green points missing y coordinate, red points missing both

Recommendation system

- features are movies; examples are customer ratings
- entries are either rating (say, between 1 and 5) or ? if the customer did not rate that movie
- imputed entries are our guess of what rating the customer would give, if they rated that movie
- we can recommend movies to a customer for which the imputed entry is large